

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box M50
Advandria, Muginia 22313-1450
www.sspio.gov

			V	•	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/049,812	12/27/2001	Eric J. Sprunk		7975	
20350	7590 08/14/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP			HOFFMAN, E	HOFFMAN, BRANDON S	
TWO EMBA	RCADERO CENTER				
EIGHTH FLO	OOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN FRANC	CISCO, CA 94111-3834		2136		
			DATE MAILED: 08/14/200	DATE MAILED: 08/14/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/049,812	SPRUNK ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Brandon S. Hoffman	2136

	Brandon S. Hoffman	2136	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 July 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completely following time periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice o wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 C	ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILEI	OWT NIHTIW O
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. Itutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on 27 July 2006. A brief i date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl AMENDMENTS 	any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	37(e)), to avoid dismis	sal of the
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will not be entered l	oecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); educing or simplifying	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Ce	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	·	•	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7 and 10-19</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	A b - 5 Ab	1-4:£ A	-4 h
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	s necessary
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	hed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 			nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
13. Other:			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Lewis does not teach encrypting the first key with a second key, wherein the length of the second key is longer than the first key. Examiner would like to point to column 9, lines 33-67 of Lewis. This passage states that the first key is encyrpted by a second key and the second key is longer in length than the first key.

NASSER MOAZZAMI PRIMARY EXAMINER

8/8/06